

Springer Proceedings in Mathematics & Statistics

Volume 36

For further volumes:

<http://www.springer.com/series/10533>

Springer Proceedings in Mathematics & Statistics

This book series features volumes composed of select contributions from workshops and conferences in all areas of current research in mathematics and statistics, including OR and optimization. In addition to an overall evaluation of the interest, scientific quality, and timeliness of each proposal at the hands of the publisher, individual contributions are all refereed to the high quality standards of leading journals in the field. Thus, this series provides the research community with well-edited, authoritative reports on developments in the most exciting areas of mathematical and statistical research today.

Vladimir Dobrev
Editor

Lie Theory and Its Applications in Physics

IX International Workshop

 Springer

Editor

Vladimir Dobrev
Institute for Nuclear Research
and Nuclear Energy
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
72 Tsarigradsko Chaussee
Sofia, Bulgaria

ISSN 2194-1009

ISBN 978-4-431-54269-8

DOI 10.1007/978-4-431-54270-4

Springer Tokyo Heidelberg New York Dordrecht London

ISSN 2194-1017 (electronic)

ISBN 978-4-431-54270-4 (eBook)

Library of Congress Control Number: 2013934220

© Springer Japan 2013

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed. Exempted from this legal reservation are brief excerpts in connection with reviews or scholarly analysis or material supplied specifically for the purpose of being entered and executed on a computer system, for exclusive use by the purchaser of the work. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is permitted only under the provisions of the Copyright Law of the Publisher's location, in its current version, and permission for use must always be obtained from Springer. Permissions for use may be obtained through RightsLink at the Copyright Clearance Center. Violations are liable to prosecution under the respective Copyright Law.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

While the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication, neither the authors nor the editors nor the publisher can accept any legal responsibility for any errors or omissions that may be made. The publisher makes no warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein.

Printed on acid-free paper

Springer is part of Springer Science+Business Media (www.springer.com)

Preface

The workshop series “Lie Theory and Its Applications in Physics” is designed to serve the community of theoretical physicists, mathematical physicists, and mathematicians working on mathematical models for physical systems based on geometrical methods and in the field of Lie theory.

The series reflects the trend towards a geometrization of the mathematical description of physical systems and objects. A geometric approach to a system yields in general some notion of symmetry which is very helpful in understanding its structure. Geometrization and symmetries are meant in their widest sense, i.e., classical geometry, differential geometry, groups and quantum groups, infinite-dimensional (super-)algebras, and their representations. Furthermore, we include the necessary tools from functional analysis and number theory. This is a big interdisciplinary and interrelated field.

The first three workshops were organized in Clausthal (1995, 1997, 1999), the 4th was part of the 2nd Symposium “Quantum Theory and Symmetries” in Cracow (2001), the 5th, 7th, and 8th were organized in Varna (2003, 2007, 2009), and the 6th was part of the 4th Symposium “Quantum Theory and Symmetries” in Varna (2005) but has its own volume of proceedings.

The 9th workshop of the series (LT-9) was organized by the Institute of Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy of the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences (BAS) in June 2011 (20–26), at the guest house of BAS near Varna on the Bulgarian Black Sea Coast.

The overall number of participants was 76 and they came from 21 countries.

The scientific level was very high as can be judged by the speakers. The plenary speakers were Anton Alekseev (Geneva), Lorian Bonora (Trieste), Branko Dragovich (Belgrade), Anthony Joseph (Rehovot), Toshiyuki Kobayashi (Tokyo), Jean-Louis Loday (Strasbourg), Ivan Penkov (Bremen), Karl-Henning Rehren (Gttingen), and Ivan Todorov (Sofia). A special plenary session, with the speakers Joris Van der Jeugt (Ghent), Ronald King (Southampton), and David Finkelstein (Atlanta), was devoted to the 75th-year Jubilee of Tchavdar Palev, Professor Emeritus at our Institute.

The topics covered the most modern trends in the field of the workshop: representation theory, quantum field theory, string theory, (super-)gravity theories, conformal field theory, supersymmetry, quantum groups, vertex algebras, and integrability.

The members of the International Organizing Committee were V.K. Dobrev (Sofia) and H.-D. Doebner (Clausthal), in collaboration with G. Rudolph (Leipzig).

The members of the Local Organizing Committee were V.K. Dobrev (Chairman), V.I. Doseva, A. Ganchev, S.G. Mihov, D. Nedanovski, T.V. Popov, T. Stefanova, M.N. Stoilov, and S.T. Stoimenov.

Acknowledgments

We express our gratitude to the Institute of Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy and to the Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics for financial help. We thank the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences for providing its guest house, which contributed very much to the stimulating and pleasant atmosphere during the workshop. We thank the publisher, Springer Japan, represented by Ms. Chino Hasebe (Executive Editor in Mathematics, Statistics, Business, Economics, Computer Science) and Mr. Masayuki Nakamura (Editorial Department), for assistance in this publication. Last but not least, we thank the members of the Local Organizing Committee, who, through their efforts, made the workshop run smoothly and efficiently.

Sofia and Geneva

Vladimir Dobrev

Contents

Part I Plenary Talks

A Lump Solution in SFT	3
Loriano Bonora	
Towards p-Adic Matter in the Universe	13
Branko Dragovich	
Paley Statistics and the Chronon	25
David Ritz Finkelstein	
Some Remarks on Weierstrass Sections, Adapted Pairs and Polynomiality	39
Anthony Joseph	
From Palev's Study of Wigner Quantum Systems to New Results on Sums of Schur Functions	61
Ronald C. King	
Varna Lecture on L^2-Analysis of Minimal Representations	77
Toshiyuki Kobayashi	
Exponential Series Without Denominators	95
Jean-Louis Loday	
New Methods in Conformal Partial Wave Analysis	109
Christoph Neumann, Karl-Henning Rehren, and Lena Wallenhorst	
Euclidean Configuration Space Renormalization, Residues and Dilation Anomaly	127
Nikolay M. Nikolov, Raymond Stora, and Ivan Todorov	
Wigner Quantization and Lie Superalgebra Representations	149
Joris Van der Jeugt	

Part II Quantum Field Theory

Spontaneous Breaking of Supersymmetry, Localization and Nicolai Mapping in Matrix Models	169
Fumihiko Sugino	
Mirror Map as Generating Function of Intersection Numbers	181
Masao Jinzenji	
Operadic Construction of the Renormalization Group	191
Jean-Louis Loday and Nikolay M. Nikolov	

Part III String and Gravity Theories

Lightlike Braneworlds in Anti-de Sitter Bulk Space-Times	215
Eduardo Guendelman, Alexander Kaganovich, Emil Nissimov, and Svetlana Pacheva	
Generalized Bernoulli Polynomials and the Casimir Effect in the Einstein Universe	231
Patrick Moylan	
From Singularities to Algebras to Pure Yang–Mills with Matter	239
Tamar Friedmann	
On Modified Gravity	251
Ivan Dimitrijevic, Branko Dragovich, Jelena Grujic, and Zoran Rakic	

Part IV Quantum Groups and Related Objects

The q-Wakimoto Realization of the Superalgebras $U_q(\widehat{sl}(N 1))$ and $U_{q,p}(\widehat{sl}(N 1))$	263
Takeo Kojima	
Quantum Phases in Noncommutative Space	277
Ö.F. Dayi and B. Yapışkan	
On Quantum WZNW Monodromy Matrix: Factorization, Diagonalization, and Determinant	287
Ludmil Hadjiivanov and Paolo Furlan	

Part V Representation Theory

Some Properties of Planar Galilean Conformal Algebras	301
Naruhiko Aizawa	
Invariant Differential Operators for Non-compact Lie Groups: The $Sp(n, \mathbb{R})$ Case	311
V.K. Dobrev	

Generalization of the Gell–Mann Decontraction Formula for $sl(n, \mathbb{R})$ and Its Applications in Affine Gravity 337
 Igor Salom and Djordje Šijački

W-Algebras Extending $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}(1|1)$ 349
 Thomas Creutzig and David Ridout

Non-Local Space-Time Transformations Generated from the Ageing Algebra 369
 Stoimen Stoimenov and Malte Henkel

Construction of the Noncommutative Rank I Bergman Domain 381
 Zhituo Wang

Part VI Vertex Algebras

Singular Vectors and Zhu’s Poisson Algebra of Parafermion Vertex Operator Algebras 391
 Tomoyuki Arakawa, Ching Hung Lam, and Hiromichi Yamada

Boson-Fermion Correspondence of Type B and Twisted Vertex Algebras 399
 Iana I. Anguelova

On Twisted Modules for N=2 Supersymmetric Vertex Operator Superalgebras..... 411
 Katrina Barron

Part VII Integrability and Other Applications

The Ruijsenaars Self-Duality Map as a Mapping Class Symplectomorphism 423
 L. Fehér and C. Klimčík

Hilbert Space Decomposition for Coulomb Blockade in Fabry–Pérot Interferometers 439
 Lachezar S. Georgiev

Group Classification of Variable Coefficient KdV-like Equations 451
 Olena Vaneeva

A New Diffeomorphism Symmetry Group of Magnetohydrodynamics.... 461
 Asher Yahalom

Invariance Properties of the Exceptional Quantum Mechanics (F_4) and Its Generalization to Complex Jordan Algebras (E_6) 469
 Sultan Catto, Yoon S. Choun, and Levent Kurt

Matrix Superpotentials 477
 Yuri Karadzhov

Part VIII Various Mathematical Results

On Finite W-Algebras for Lie Superalgebras in the Regular Case	487
Elena Poletaeva and Vera Serganova	
Young Tableaux and Homotopy Commutative Algebras	499
Michel Dubois-Violette and Todor Popov	
Fixed Point Factorization	511
Elaine Beltaos	
Differential Invariants of Second-Order Ordinary Differential Equations	521
M. Eugenia Rosado María	
Some Properties of Harmonic Quasi-Conformal Mappings	531
Miljan Knežević	
A Note on the Categorification of Lie Algebras	541
Isar Goyvaerts and Joost Vercautse	
A Continuous Bialgebra Structure on a Loop Algebra	551
Rémi Léandre	

List of Participants

Naruhiko Aizawa Osaka Prefecture University, Sakai, Osaka, Japan

Anton Alekseev Department of Mathematics, University of Geneva, Switzerland

Iana Anguelova Department of Mathematics, College of Charleston, SC, USA

Bojko Bakalov Department of Mathematics, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC, USA

Katrina Barron Department of Mathematics, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, IN, USA

Elaine Beltaos Grant MacEwan University, Alberta, Canada

Nikolay Bobev Simons Center for Geometry and Physics – SUNY, Stony Brook, NY, USA

Loriano Bonora SISSA, Trieste, Italy

Cestmir Burdik Department of Mathematics, Czech Technical University in Prague, Trojanova, Prague, Czech Republic

Scott Carnahan University of Tokyo, IPMU, Kashiwa, Japan

Sultan Catto FIT-State University of New York, New York, NY, USA

Jean Francois Colombeau Institut Fourier, 100 rue des maths, St Martin d'Herès cedex, France

Kevin Coulembier Ghent University, Krijgslaan, Gent, Belgium

Thomas Creutzig Fachbereich Mathematik, Technische University of Darmstadt, Darmstadt, Germany

Domenico D'Alessandro Department of Mathematics, Iowa State University, IA, USA

Jennie Dambroise Bard College, NY, USA

Omer Faruk Dayi Physics Department, Istanbul Technical University, Faculty of Science and Letters, TR, Maslak-Istanbul, Turkey

Ivan Dimitrijevic Faculty of Mathematics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia

Vladimir Dobrev Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, BG Sofia, Bulgaria

Branko Dragovich Institute of Physics, Zemun Belgrade, Serbia

Laszlo Feher MTA KFKI RMKI and University of Szeged, Konkoly Thege Miklos ut 29-33, Budapest, Hungary

David Finkelstein Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA, USA

Tamar Friedmann Department of Physics, University of Rochester, NY, USA

Alexander Ganchev Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, BG Sofia, Bulgaria

Lachezar Georgiev Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, BG Sofia, Bulgaria

Tatiana Gerasimova Taras Shevchenko National University, Kiev, Ukraine

Anastasia Golubtsova Peoples' Friendship University of Russia, Moscow, Russia

Ludmil Hadjiivanov Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, BG Sofia, Bulgaria

Elitza Hristova Department of Mathematics, Jacobs University Bremen, Bremen, Germany

Jiri Hrivnak Czech Technical University in Prague, Praha, Czech Republic

Masao Jinzenji Hokkaido University, Kita-ku, Sapporo, Hokkaido, Japan

Anthony Joseph Mathematics Department, Weizmann Institute, Herzl St. Rehovot, Israel

Yuri Karadzhov Department of Applied Research, National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, Institute of Mathematics, Kyiv, Ukraine

Ronald King School of Mathematics, University of Southampton, Southampton, England, UK

Ctirad Klimcik IML Luminy, Marseille, France

Miljan Knezevic Faculty of Mathematics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia

Toshiyuki Kobayashi Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Tokyo, Meguro, Komaba, Tokyo, Japan

Takeo Kojima Graduate School of Science and Engineering, Yamagata University, Yonezawa, Japan

Rémi Léandre Laboratoire de Mathématiques, Université de Franche Comté, Besançon, France

Andrew Linshaw Technische University of Darmstadt, Darmstadt, Germany

Jean-Louis Loday Institut de Recherche Mathématique Avancée, CNRS et Université de Strasbourg, Strasbourg, France

Stefan Mihov Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, BG Sofia, Bulgaria

Patrick Moylan The Abington College, Pennsylvania State University, Abington, PA, USA

Dimitar Nedanovski Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, BG Sofia, Bulgaria

Christoph Neumann Goettingen University, Institute for Theoretical Physics, Goettingen, Germany

Nikolay Nikolov Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, BG Sofia, Bulgaria

Emil Nissimov Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, BG Sofia, Bulgaria

Petr Novotny Czech Technical University in Prague, FNSPE, Prague, Czech Republic

Svetlana Pacheva Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, BG Sofia, Bulgaria

Tchavdar Palev Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, BG Sofia, Bulgaria

Ivan Penkov Mathematics Department, Jacobs University Bremen, Bremen, Germany

Valentina Petkova Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, BG Sofia, Bulgaria

Elena Poletaeva Department of Mathematics, University of Texas-Pan American, Edinburg, TX, USA

Todor Popov Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, BG Sofia, Bulgaria

Severin Posta Czech Technical University in Prague, Prague, Czech Republic

Bela Gabor Pusztai Bolyai Institute, University of Szeged, Szeged, Hungary

- Zoran Rakić** Faculty of Mathematics, University of Belgrade, Beograd, Serbia
- Karlsruenning Rehren** Institute for Theoretical Physics, Goettingen University, Goettingen, Germany
- Adam Rej** Imperial College London, London, UK
- Maria Eugenia Rosado** Universidad Politecnica de Madrid, ETSAM, Madrid, Spain
- Igor Salom** Institute of Physics, Pregrevica, Belgrade, Serbia
- Michail Stoilov** Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, BG Sofia, Bulgaria
- Stoimen Stoimenov** Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, BG Sofia, Bulgaria
- Fumihiko Sugino** Okayama Institute for Quantum Physics, Kita-ku, Okayama, Japan
- Ivan Todorov** Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, BG Sofia, Bulgaria
- Joris Van Der Jeugt** Department of Applied Mathematics, Ghent University, Gent, Belgium
- Olena Vaneeva** Department of Applied Research, Institute of Mathematics, National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, Kyiv, Ukraine
- Joost Verduyseyse** Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Pleinlaan, Brussel, Belgium
- Jirina Vodova** Mathematical Institute, Silesian University in Opava, Na Rybnicku, Opava, Czech Republic
- Petr Vojcak** Mathematical Institute, Silesian University in Opava, Na Rybnicku, Opava, Czech Republic
- Lena Wallenhorst** Goettingen University, Institute for Theoretical Physics, Goettingen, Germany
- Zhituo Wang** Universite Paris XI, Laboratoire de Physique Theorique d'Orsay, CNRS UMR, Orsay Cedex, France
- Justin Wilson** Department of Physics, University of Maryland at College Park, College Park, MD, USA
- Asher Yahalom** Ariel University Center of Samaria, Kiryat Hamada, Ariel, Israel
- Milen Yakimov** Department of Mathematics, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA, USA
- Hiromichi Yamada** Hitotsubashi University, Kunitachi, Tokyo, Japan

Lightlike Braneworlds in Anti-de Sitter Bulk Space-times

Eduardo Guendelman, Alexander Kaganovich, Emil Nissimov, Svetlana Pacheva

Abstract We consider five-dimensional Einstein-Maxwell-Kalb-Ramond system self-consistently coupled to a *lightlike* 3-brane, where the latter acts as material, charge and variable cosmological constant source. We find wormhole-like solutions whose total space-time manifold consists of either (a) two “universes”, which are identical copies of the exterior space-time region (beyond the horizon) of 5-dimensional Schwarzschild-anti-de Sitter black hole, or (b) a “right” “universe” comprising the exterior space-time region of Reissner-Nordström-anti-de Sitter black hole and a “left” “universe” being the Rindler “wedge” of 5-dimensional flat Minkowski space. The wormhole “throat” connecting these “universes”, which is located on their common horizons, is self-consistently occupied by the lightlike 3-brane as a direct result of its dynamics given by an explicit reparametrization-invariant world-volume Lagrangian action. The intrinsic world-volume metric on the 3-brane turns out to be flat, which allows its interpretation as a *lightlike* braneworld.

1 Introduction

Lightlike branes (“*LL-branes*” for short) play an important role in modern general relativity. *LL-branes* are singular null (lightlike) hypersurfaces in Riemannian space-time which provide dynamical description of various physically important phenomena in cosmology and astrophysics such as: (i) impulsive lightlike signals arising in cataclysmic astrophysical events (supernovae, neutron star collisions)

Eduardo Guendelman and Alexander Kaganovich
Department of Physics, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Beer-Sheva, Israel,
e-mail: guendel@bgu.ac.il, e-mail: alexk@bgu.ac.il

Emil Nissimov and Svetlana Pacheva
Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia, Bulgaria, e-mail: nissimov@inrne.bas.bg, e-mail: svetlana@inrne.bas.bg

[1]; (ii) dynamics of horizons in black hole physics – the so called “membrane paradigm” [2]; (iii) the thin-wall approach to domain walls coupled to gravity [3]–[6]. More recently, *LL-branes* became significant also in the context of modern non-perturbative string theory [7, 8, 9, 10]

In our previous papers [11]–[20] we have provided an explicit reparametrization invariant world-volume Lagrangian formulation of lightlike p -branes (a brief review is given in Section 2) and we have used them to construct various types of wormhole, regular black hole and lightlike braneworld solutions in $D=4$ or higher-dimensional asymptotically flat or asymptotically anti-de Sitter bulk space-times (for a detailed account of the general theory of wormholes see the book [21] and also refs.[22]–[28]). In particular, in refs.[18, 19, 20] we have shown that lightlike branes can trigger a series of spontaneous compactification-decompactification transitions of space-time regions, e.g., from ordinary compactified (“tube-like”) Levi-Civita-Bertotti-Robinson [29, 30, 31] space to non-compact Reissner-Nordström or Reissner-Nordström-de-Sitter region or *vice versa*. Let us note that wormholes with “tube-like” structure (and regular black holes with “tube-like” core) have been previously obtained within different contexts in refs.[32]–[40].

Let us emphasize the following characteristic features of *LL-branes* which drastically distinguish them from ordinary Nambu-Goto branes:

(i) They describe intrinsically lightlike modes, whereas Nambu-Goto branes describe massive ones.

(ii) The tension of the *LL-brane* arises as an *additional dynamical degree of freedom*, whereas Nambu-Goto brane tension is a given *ad hoc* constant. The latter characteristic feature significantly distinguishes our *LL-brane* models from the previously proposed *tensionless* p -branes (for a review of the latter, see Ref. [41]) which rather resemble a p -dimensional continuous distribution of massless point-particles.

(iii) Consistency of *LL-brane* dynamics in a spherically or axially symmetric gravitational background of codimension one requires the presence of a horizon which is automatically occupied by the *LL-brane* (“horizon straddling” according to the terminology of ref. [4]).

(iv) When the *LL-brane* moves as a *test* brane in spherically or axially symmetric gravitational backgrounds its dynamical tension exhibits exponential “inflation/deflation” time behavior [42] – an effect similar to the “mass inflation” effect around black hole horizons [43, 44].

Here we will focus on studying 4-dimensional lightlike braneworlds in 5-dimensional bulk anti-de Sitter spaces – an alternative to the standard Randall-Sundrum scenario [45, 46] (for a systematic overview to braneworld theory, see [47, 48, 49]). Namely, we will present explicit solutions of 5-dimensional Einstein-Maxwell-Kalb-Ramond system self-consistently interacting with codimension-one *LL-branes*, which are special kinds of “wormhole”-like space-times of either one of the following structures:

(A) two “universes” which are identical copies of the exterior space-time region (beyond the horizon) of 5-dimensional Schwarzschild-anti-de Sitter black hole;

(B) “right” “universe” comprising the exterior space-time region of Reissner-Nordström-anti-de Sitter black hole and “left” “universe” being the Rindler “wedge” of 5-dimensional flat Minkowski space.

Both “right” and “left” “universes” in (A)-(B) are glued together along their common horizons occupied by the *LL-brane* with *flat* 4-dimensional intrinsic world-volume metric, in other words, a flat lightlike braneworld (*LL-braneworld*) at the wormhole “throat”. In case (A) the *LL-brane* is electrically neutral whereas in case (B) it is both electrically charged as well as it couples also to a bulk Kalb-Ramond tensor gauge field.

2 Lagrangian Formulation of Lightlike Brane Dynamics

In what follows we will consider gravity/gauge-field system self-consistently interacting with a lightlike p -brane of codimension one ($D = (p + 1) + 1$). In a series of previous papers [11]–[20] we have proposed manifestly reparametrization invariant world-volume Lagrangian formulation in several dynamically equivalent forms of *LL-branes* coupled to bulk gravity $G_{\mu\nu}$ and bulk gauge fields, in particular, Maxwell A_μ and Kalb-Ramond $A_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{p+1}}$. Here we will use our Polyakov-type formulation given by the world-volume action:

$$S_{\text{LL}}[q, \beta] = -\frac{1}{2} \int d^{p+1} \sigma T b_0^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \sqrt{-\gamma} \left[\gamma^{ab} \bar{g}_{ab} - b_0(p-1) \right], \quad (1)$$

$$-\frac{\beta}{(p+1)!} \int d^{p+1} \sigma \varepsilon^{a_1 \dots a_{p+1}} \partial_{a_1} X^{\mu_1} \dots \partial_{a_{p+1}} X^{\mu_{p+1}} A_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{p+1}} \quad (2)$$

where:

$$\bar{g}_{ab} \equiv \partial_a X^\mu G_{\mu\nu} \partial_b X^\nu - \frac{1}{T^2} (\partial_a u + q A_a) (\partial_b u + q A_b) \quad , \quad A_a \equiv \partial_a X^\mu A_\mu \quad . \quad (3)$$

Here and below the following notations are used:

- $X^\mu(\sigma)$ are the p -brane embedding coordinates in the bulk D -dimensional space-time with Riemannian metric $G_{\mu\nu}(x)$ ($\mu, \nu = 0, 1, \dots, D-1$); ($\sigma \equiv (\sigma^0 \equiv \tau, \sigma^i$ with $i = 1, \dots, p$; $\partial_a \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma^a}$).
- γ_{ab} is the *intrinsic* Riemannian metric on the world-volume with $\gamma = \det \|\gamma_{ab}\|$; g_{ab} is the *induced* metric on the world-volume:

$$g_{ab} \equiv \partial_a X^\mu G_{\mu\nu}(X) \partial_b X^\nu \quad , \quad (4)$$

which becomes *singular* on-shell (manifestation of the lightlike nature), *cf.* Eq.(9) below); b_0 is a positive constant measuring the world-volume “cosmological constant”.

- u is auxiliary world-volume scalar field defining the lightlike direction of the induced metric (see Eq.(9) below) and it is a non-propagating degree of freedom (cf. ref.[20]).
- T is *dynamical (variable)* brane tension (also a non-propagating degree of freedom).
- The coupling parameters q and β are the electric surface charge density and the Kalb-Rammond charge of the *LL-brane*, respectively.

The corresponding equations of motion w.r.t. X^μ , u , γ_{ab} and T read accordingly (using short-hand notation (3)):

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_a \left(T \sqrt{|\bar{g}|} \bar{g}^{ab} \partial_b X^\mu \right) + T \sqrt{|\bar{g}|} \bar{g}^{ab} \partial_a X^\lambda \partial_b X^\nu \Gamma_{\lambda\nu}^\mu \\ & \quad + \frac{q}{T} \sqrt{|\bar{g}|} \bar{g}^{ab} \partial_a X^\nu (\partial_b u + q A_b) F_{\lambda\nu} G^{\mu\lambda} \\ & - \frac{\beta}{(p+1)!} \varepsilon^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{p+1}} \partial_{\alpha_1} X^{\mu_1} \dots \partial_{\alpha_{p+1}} X^{\mu_{p+1}} F_{\lambda\mu_1 \dots \mu_{p+1}} G^{\lambda\mu} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

$$\partial_a \left(\frac{1}{T} \sqrt{|\bar{g}|} \bar{g}^{ab} (\partial_b u + q A_b) \right) = 0 \quad , \quad \gamma_{ab} = \frac{1}{b_0} \bar{g}_{ab} \quad , \quad (6)$$

$$T^2 + \bar{g}^{ab} (\partial_a u + q A_a) (\partial_b u + q A_b) = 0. \quad (7)$$

Here $\bar{g} = \det \|\bar{g}_{ab}\|$, $\Gamma_{\lambda\nu}^\mu$ denotes the Christoffel connection for the bulk metric $G_{\mu\nu}$ and:

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu \quad , \quad F_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_D} = D \partial_{[\mu_1} A_{\mu_2 \dots \mu_D]} = \mathcal{F} \sqrt{-G} \varepsilon_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_D} \quad (8)$$

are the corresponding gauge field strengths.

The on-shell singularity of the induced metric g_{ab} (4), *i.e.*, the lightlike property, directly follows Eq.(7) and the definition of \bar{g}_{ab} (3):

$$g_{ab} \left(\bar{g}^{bc} (\partial_c u + q A_c) \right) = 0. \quad (9)$$

Explicit world-volume reparametrization invariance of the *LL-brane* action (1) allows to introduce the standard synchronous gauge-fixing conditions for the intrinsic world-volume metric

$$\gamma^{00} = -1 \quad , \quad \gamma^{0i} = 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, p). \quad (10)$$

which reduces Eqs.(6)–(7) to the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(\partial_0 u + q A_0)^2}{T^2} = b_0 + g_{00} \quad , \quad \partial_i u + q A_i = (\partial_0 u + q A_0) g_{0i} (b_0 + g_{00})^{-1} \quad , \\ & g_{00} = g^{ij} g_{0i} g_{0j} \quad , \quad \partial_0 \left(\sqrt{g^{(p)}} \right) - \partial_i \left(\sqrt{g^{(p)}} g^{ij} g_{0j} \right) = 0 \quad , \quad g^{(p)} \equiv \det \|g_{ij}\| \quad , \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

(recall that g_{00}, g_{0i}, g_{ij} are the components of the induced metric (4); g^{ij} is the inverse matrix of g_{ij}). Then, as shown in refs.[11]–[20], consistency of *LL-brane* dynamics in static “spherically-symmetric”-type backgrounds (in what follows we will use Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates, $dt = dv - \frac{d\eta}{A(\eta)}$):

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= -A(\eta)dv^2 + 2dv d\eta + C(\eta)h_{ij}(\theta)d\theta^i d\theta^j, \\ F_{v\eta} &= F_{v\eta}(\eta), \text{ rest} = 0, \quad \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(\eta), \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

with the standard embedding ansatz:

$$X^0 \equiv v = \tau, \quad X^1 \equiv \eta = \eta(\tau), \quad X^i \equiv \theta^i = \sigma^i \quad (i = 1, \dots, p). \quad (13)$$

requires the corresponding background (12) to possess a horizon at some $\eta = \eta_0$, which is automatically occupied by the *LL-brane*.

Indeed, in the case of (12)–(13) Eqs.(11) reduce to:

$$g_{00} = 0, \quad \partial_0 C(\eta(\tau)) \equiv \dot{\eta} \partial_\eta C \big|_{\eta=\eta(\tau)} = 0, \quad \frac{(\partial_0 u + qA_0)^2}{T^2} = b_0, \quad \partial_i u = 0 \quad (14)$$

($\dot{\eta} \equiv \partial_0 \eta \equiv \partial_\tau \eta(\tau)$). Thus, in the generic case of non-trivial dependence of $C(\eta)$ on the “radial-like” coordinate η , the first two relations in (14) yield:

$$\dot{\eta} = \frac{1}{2}A(\eta(\tau)), \quad \dot{\eta} = 0 \quad \rightarrow \quad \eta(\tau) = \eta_0 = \text{const}, \quad A(\eta_0) = 0. \quad (15)$$

The latter property is called “horizon straddling” according to the terminology of ref.[4]. Similar “horizon straddling” has been found also for *LL-branes* moving in rotating axially symmetric (Kerr or Kerr-Newman) and rotating cylindrically symmetric black hole backgrounds [16, 17].

3 Gravity/Gauge-Field System Interacting with Lightlike Brane

The generally covariant and manifestly world-volume reparametrization-invariant Lagrangian action describing a bulk Einstein-Maxwell-Kalb-Ramond system (with bulk cosmological constant Λ) self-consistently interacting with a codimension-one *LL-brane* is given by:

$$S = \int d^D x \sqrt{-G} \left[\frac{R(G) - 2\Lambda}{16\pi} - \frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{D!2}F_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_D}F^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_D} \right] + S_{\text{LL}}[q, \beta], \quad (16)$$

where again $F_{\mu\nu}$ and $F_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_D}$ are the Maxwell and Kalb-Ramond field-strengths (8) and $S_{\text{LL}}[q, \beta]$ indicates the world-volume action of the *LL-brane* of the form (1). It is now the *LL-brane* which will be the material and charge source for gravity

and electromagnetism, as well as it will generate dynamically an additional space-varying bulk cosmological constant (see Eq.(20) and second relation (28) below).

The equations of motion resulting from (16) read:

(a) Einstein equations:

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}G_{\mu\nu}R + \Lambda G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi \left(T_{\mu\nu}^{(EM)} + T_{\mu\nu}^{(KR)} + T_{\mu\nu}^{(\text{brane})} \right); \quad (17)$$

(b) Maxwell equations:

$$\partial_\nu \left[\sqrt{-G} F_{\kappa\lambda} G^{\mu\kappa} G^{\nu\lambda} \right] + j_{(\text{brane})}^\mu = 0; \quad (18)$$

(c) Kalb-Ramond equations (recall definition of \mathcal{F} in (8)):

$$\varepsilon^{\nu\mu_1\dots\mu_{p+1}} \partial_\nu \mathcal{F} - J_{(\text{brane})}^{\mu_1\dots\mu_{p+1}} = 0; \quad (19)$$

(d) The *LL-brane* equations of motion have already been written down in (5)–(7) above.

The energy-momentum tensors of bulk gauge fields are given by:

$$T_{\mu\nu}^{(EM)} = F_{\mu\kappa} F^{\mu\nu} - G_{\mu\nu} \frac{1}{4} F_{\kappa\lambda} F^{\kappa\lambda}, \quad T_{\mu\nu}^{(KR)} = -\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}^2 G_{\mu\nu}, \quad (20)$$

where the last relation indicates that $\Lambda \equiv 4\pi \mathcal{F}^2$ can be interpreted as dynamically generated cosmological “constant”.

The energy-momentum (stress-energy) tensor $T_{\mu\nu}^{(\text{brane})}$ and the electromagnetic $j_{(\text{brane})}^\mu$ and Kalb-Ramond $J_{(\text{brane})}^{\mu_1\dots\mu_{p+1}}$ charge current densities of the *LL-brane* are straightforwardly derived from the pertinent *LL-brane* action (1):

$$T_{(\text{brane})}^{\mu\nu} = - \int d^{p+1} \sigma \frac{\delta^{(D)}(x - X(\sigma))}{\sqrt{-G}} T \sqrt{|\bar{g}|} \bar{g}^{ab} \partial_a X^\mu \partial_b X^\nu, \quad (21)$$

$$j_{(\text{brane})}^\mu = -q \int d^{p+1} \sigma \delta^{(D)}(x - X(\sigma)) \sqrt{|\bar{g}|} \bar{g}^{ab} \partial_a X^\mu (\partial_b u + q A_b) T^{-1}, \quad (22)$$

$$J_{(\text{brane})}^{\mu_1\dots\mu_{p+1}} = \beta \int d^{p+1} \sigma \delta^{(D)}(x - X(\sigma)) \varepsilon^{a_1\dots a_{p+1}} \partial_{a_1} X^{\mu_1} \dots \partial_{a_{p+1}} X^{\mu_{p+1}}. \quad (23)$$

Construction of “wormhole”-like solutions of static “spherically-symmetric”-type (12) for the coupled gravity-gauge-field-*LL-brane* system (16) proceeds along the following simple steps:

(i) Choose “vacuum” static “spherically-symmetric”-type solutions (12) of (17)–(19) (*i.e.*, without the delta-function terms due to the *LL-branes*) in each region $-\infty < \eta < \eta_0$ and $\eta_0 < \eta < \infty$ with a common horizon at $\eta = \eta_0$;

(ii) The *LL-brane* automatically locates itself on the horizon according to “horizon straddling” property (15);

(iii) Match the discontinuities of the derivatives of the metric and the gauge field strength (12) across the horizon at $\eta = \eta_0$ using the explicit expressions for the

LL-brane stress-energy tensor, electromagnetic and Kalb-Ramond charge current densities (21)–(23).

Using (11)–(13) we find:

$$T_{(\text{brane})}^{\mu\nu} = S^{\mu\nu} \delta(\eta - \eta_0) \quad , \quad j_{(\text{brane})}^\mu = \delta_0^\mu q \sqrt{\det \|G_{ij}\|} \delta(\eta - \eta_0) \quad , \quad (24)$$

$$\frac{1}{(p+1)!} \epsilon^{\mu\nu_1 \dots \nu_{p+1}} J_{(\text{brane})}^{\nu_1 \dots \nu_{p+1}} = \beta \delta_\mu^\eta \delta(\eta - \eta_0)$$

where $G_{ij} = C(\eta)h_{ij}(\theta)$ (cf. (12)) and the surface energy-momentum tensor reads:

$$S^{\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{T}{b_0^{1/2}} (\partial_\tau X^\mu \partial_\tau X^\nu - b_0 G^{ij} \partial_i X^\mu \partial_j X^\nu)_{v=\tau, \eta=\eta_0, \theta^i=\sigma^i} \quad . \quad (25)$$

The non-zero components of $S_{\mu\nu}$ (with lower indices) and its trace are:

$$S_{\eta\eta} = \frac{T}{b_0^{1/2}} \quad , \quad S_{ij} = -T b_0^{1/2} G_{ij} \quad , \quad S_\lambda^\lambda = -p T b_0^{1/2} \quad . \quad (26)$$

Taking into account (24)–(26) together with (12)–(15), the matching relations at the horizon $\eta = \eta_0$ become [18, 19, 20] (for a systematic introduction to the formalism of matching different bulk space-time geometries on codimension-one hypersurfaces (“thin shells”) see the textbook [50]):

(i) Matching relations from Einstein eqs.(17):

$$[\partial_\eta A]_{\eta_0} = -16\pi T \sqrt{b_0} \quad , \quad [\partial_\eta \ln C]_{\eta_0} = -\frac{16\pi}{p\sqrt{b_0}} T \quad (27)$$

with notation $[Y]_{\eta_0} \equiv Y|_{\eta \rightarrow \eta_0+0} - Y|_{\eta \rightarrow \eta_0-0}$ for any quantity Y .

(ii) Matching relation from gauge field eqs.(18)–(19):

$$[F_{v\eta}]_{\eta_0} = q \quad , \quad [\mathcal{F}]_{\eta_0} = -\beta \quad . \quad (28)$$

(iii) X^0 -equation of motion of the *LL-brane* (the only non-trivial contribution of second-order *LL-brane* eqs.(5) in the case of embedding (13)):

$$\frac{T}{2} \left(\langle \partial_\eta A \rangle_{\eta_0} + p b_0 \langle \partial_\eta \ln C \rangle_{\eta_0} \right) - \sqrt{b_0} \left(q \langle F_{v\eta} \rangle_{\eta_0} - \beta \langle \mathcal{F} \rangle_{\eta=\eta_0} \right) = 0 \quad (29)$$

with notation $\langle Y \rangle_{\eta_0} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left(Y|_{\eta \rightarrow \eta_0+0} + Y|_{\eta \rightarrow \eta_0-0} \right)$.

4 Explicit Solutions: Braneworlds via Lightlike Brane

Consider 5-dimensional AdS-Schwarzschild black hole in Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates (v, r, \mathbf{x}) (with $\mathbf{x} \equiv (x^1, x^2, x^3)$):

$$ds^2 = -A(r)dv^2 + 2dvdr + Kr^2d\mathbf{x}^2 \quad , \quad A(r) = Kr^2 - m/r^2 \quad , \quad (30)$$

where $\Lambda = -6K$ is the bare negative 5-dimensional cosmological constant and m is the mass parameter of the black hole. The pertinent horizon is located at:

$$A(r_0) = 0 \rightarrow r_0 = (m/K)^{1/4} \quad , \quad \text{where } \partial_r A(r_0) > 0 \quad . \quad (31)$$

First, let us consider self-consistent Einstein-*LL-brane* system (16) with a neutral *LL-brane* source (i.e. no *LL-brane* couplings to bulk Maxwell and Kalb-Ramond gauge fields: $q, \beta = 0$ in $S_{LL}[q, \beta]$). A simple trick to obtain “wormhole”-like solution to this coupled system is to change variables in (30):

$$r \rightarrow r(\eta) = r_0 + |\eta| \quad (32)$$

with r_0 being the AdS-Schwarzschild horizon (31), where now $\eta \in (-\infty, +\infty)$, i.e., consider:

$$ds^2 = -A(\eta)dv^2 + 2dv d\eta + C(\eta)d\mathbf{x}^2 \quad , \quad (33)$$

$$A(\eta) = K(r_0 + |\eta|)^2 - \frac{m}{(r_0 + |\eta|)^2} \quad , \quad C(\eta) = K(r_0 + |\eta|)^2 \quad , \quad (34)$$

$$A(0) = 0 \quad , \quad A(\eta) > 0 \text{ for } \eta \neq 0 \quad .$$

Obviously, (32) is *not* a smooth local coordinate transformation due to $|\eta|$. The coefficients of the new metric (33)–(34) are continuous at the horizon $\eta_0 = 0$ with discontinuous first derivatives across the horizon. The *LL-brane* automatically locates itself on the horizon according to the “horizon-straddling” property of its world-volume dynamics (15).

Substituting (33)–(34) into the matching relations (27)–(29) we find the following relation between bulk space-time parameters ($K = |\Lambda|/6, m$) and the *LL-brane* parameters (T, b_0):

$$T^2 = \frac{3}{8\pi^2}K \quad , \quad T < 0 \quad , \quad b_0 = \frac{2}{3}\sqrt{Km} \quad . \quad (35)$$

Taking into account second Eq.(6) and (10) the intrinsic metric γ_{ab} on the *LL-braneworld* becomes *flat*:

$$\gamma_{00} = -1 \quad , \quad \gamma_{0i} = 0 \quad , \quad \gamma_{ij} = \frac{3}{2}\delta_{ij} \quad . \quad (36)$$

The solution (34)–(36) describes a “wormhole”-like $D = 5$ bulk space-time consisting of two “universes” being identical copies of the exterior region beyond the

horizon ($r > r_0$) of the 5-dimensional AdS-Schwarzschild black hole glued together along their common horizon (at $r = r_0$) by the *LL-brane*, *i.e.*, the latter serving as a wormhole “throat”, which in turn can be viewed as a *LL-braneworld* with flat intrinsic geometry (36).

Let us now consider the 5-dimensional AdS-Reissner-Nordström black hole (in Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates (v, r, \mathbf{x})):

$$ds^2 = -A(r)dv^2 + 2dvdr + Kr^2d\mathbf{x}^2 \quad , \quad \Lambda = -6K \quad ,$$

$$A(r) = Kr^2 - \frac{m}{r^2} + \frac{Q}{r^4} \quad , \quad F_{vr} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{4\pi}} \frac{Q}{r^3} \quad . \quad (37)$$

We can construct, following the same procedure, another *non-symmetric* “wormhole”-like solution with a flat *LL-braneworld* occupying its “throat” provided the *LL-brane* is electrically charged and couples to bulk Kalb-Ramond gauge field, *i.e.*, $q, \beta \neq 0$ in (16), (1). This solution describes:

(a) “left” universe being a 5-dimensional flat Rindler space-time – the Rindler “wedge” of $D = 5$ Minkowski space [51, 52] (here $|\eta| = X^2$, where X is the standard Rindler coordinate):

$$ds^2 = \eta dv^2 + 2dv d\eta + d\mathbf{x}^2 \quad , \quad \text{for } \eta < 0; \quad (38)$$

(b) “right” universe comprizing the exterior $D = 5$ space-time region of the AdS-Reissner-Nordström black hole beyond the *outer* AdS-Reissner-Nordström horizon r_0 ($A(r_0) = 0$ with $A(r)$ as in (37) and where again we apply the non-smooth coordinate change (32)):

$$ds^2 = -A(\eta)dv^2 + 2dv d\eta + K(r_0 + \eta)^2 d\mathbf{x}^2 \quad (39)$$

$$A(\eta) = K(r_0 + \eta)^2 - \frac{m}{(r_0 + \eta)^2} + \frac{Q^2}{(r_0 + \eta)^4} \quad (40)$$

$$F_{v\eta} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{4\pi}} \frac{Q}{(r_0 + \eta)^3} \quad , \quad A(0) = 0 \quad , \quad \partial_\eta A(0) > 0 \quad , \quad \text{for } \eta > 0. \quad (41)$$

All physical parameters of the “wormhole”-like solution (38)–(41) are determined in terms of (q, β) – the electric and Kalb-Ramond *LL-braneworld* charges:

$$m = \frac{3}{2\pi\beta^2} \left(1 + \frac{2q^2}{\beta^2}\right) \quad , \quad Q^2 = \frac{9q^2}{2\pi\beta^6} \quad , \quad |\Lambda| \equiv 6K = 4\pi\beta^2 \quad (42)$$

$$|T| = \frac{1}{8\pi} \sqrt{\frac{3}{2} \sqrt{K} + 4\pi(\beta^2 - q^2)} \quad , \quad b_0 = \frac{1}{6\sqrt{K}} \left[1 + \frac{8\pi}{3} \sqrt{K}(\beta^2 - q^2)\right] \quad (43)$$

Here again $T < 0$. Let us stress the importance of the third relation in (42). Namely, the dynamically generated space-varying effective cosmological constant (cf. second Eq.(20)) through the Kalb-Ramond coupling of the *LL-brane* (cf. second matching relation in (28)) has zero value in the “right” AdS-Reissner-Nordström “uni-

verse” and has positive value $4\pi\beta^2$ in the “left” flat Rindler “universe” (38) compensating the negative bare cosmological constant Λ .

The intrinsic metric γ_{ab} on the *LL-braneworld* is again *flat*:

$$\gamma_{00} = -1 \quad , \quad \gamma_{0i} = 0 \quad , \quad \gamma_{ij} = \frac{1}{b_0} \delta_{ij} \quad (44)$$

5 Traversability and Trapping Near the Lightlike Braneworld

The “wormhole”-like solutions presented in the previous Section share the following important properties:

(a) The *LL-braneworlds* at the wormhole “throats” represent “exotic” matter with $T < 0$, *i.e.*, negative brane tension implying violation of the null-energy conditions as predicted by general wormhole arguments [21] (although the latter could be remedied via quantum fluctuations).

(b) The wormhole space-times constructed via *LL-branes* at their “throats” are *not* traversable w.r.t. the “laboratory” time of a static observer in either of the different “universes” comprising the pertinent wormhole space-time manifold since the *LL-branes* sitting at the “throats” look as black hole horizons to the static observer. On the other hand, these wormholes *are traversable* w.r.t. the *proper time* of a traveling observer.

Indeed, proper-time traversability can be easily seen by considering dynamics of test particle of mass m_0 (“traveling observer”) in a wormhole background, which is described by the reparametrization-invariant world-line action:

$$S_{\text{particle}} = \frac{1}{2} \int d\lambda \left[\frac{1}{e} \dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu G_{\mu\nu} - em_0^2 \right]. \quad (45)$$

Using energy \mathcal{E} and orbital momentum \mathcal{J} conservation and introducing the *proper* world-line time s ($\frac{ds}{d\lambda} = em_0$), the “mass-shell” constraint equation (the equation w.r.t. the “einbein” e) produced by the action (45) yields:

$$\left(\frac{d\eta}{ds} \right)^2 + \mathcal{V}_{\text{eff}}(\eta) = \frac{\mathcal{E}^2}{m_0^2} \quad , \quad \mathcal{V}_{\text{eff}}(\eta) \equiv A(\eta) \left(1 + \frac{\mathcal{J}^2}{m_0^2 C(\eta)} \right) \quad (46)$$

where the metric coefficients $A(\eta)$, $C(\eta)$ are those in (12).

Since the “effective potential” $\mathcal{V}_{\text{eff}}(\eta)$ in (46) is everywhere non-negative and vanishes only at the wormhole throat(s) ($\eta = \eta_0$, where $A(\eta_0) = 0$), “radially” moving test matter (*e.g.* a traveling observer) with zero “impact” parameter $\mathcal{J} = 0$ and with sufficiently large energy \mathcal{E} will always cross from one “universe” to another within *finite* amount of its proper-time (see Fig.1). Moreover, this test matter (travelling observer) will “shuttle” between the turning points η_{\pm} :

$$\mathcal{V}_{\text{eff}}(\eta_{\pm}) = \frac{\mathcal{E}^2}{m_0^2} \quad , \quad \eta_+ > 0 \quad , \quad \eta_- < 0 \quad , \quad (47)$$

so that in fact it will be trapped in the vicinity of the *LL-braneworld*. This effect is analogous to the gravitational trapping of matter near domain wall of a stable false vacuum bubble in cosmology [53].




Fig. 1 Shape of the “effective potential” $\mathcal{V}_{\text{eff}}(\eta) = A(\eta)$ with $A(\eta)$ as in (34). Travelling observer along the extra 5-th dimension will “shuttle” between the two 5-dimensional AdS “universes” crossing in either direction the 4-dimensional flat braneworld within *finite* proper-time intervals.

6 Discussion

Let us recapitulate the crucial properties of the dynamics of *LL-branes* interacting with gravity and bulk space-time gauge fields which enabled us to construct the *LL-braneworld* solutions presented above:

- (i) “Horizon straddling” – automatic positioning of *LL-branes* on (one of) the horizon(s) of the bulk space-time geometry.
- (ii) Intrinsic nature of the *LL-brane* tension as an additional *degree of freedom* unlike the case of standard Nambu-Goto *p*-branes; (where it is a given *ad hoc* constant), and which might in particular acquire negative values. Moreover, the variable tension feature significantly distinguishes *LL-brane* models from the previously proposed *tensionless p*-branes – the latter rather resemble *p*-dimensional continuous distributions of independent massless point-particles without cohesion among them.
- (iii) The stress-energy tensors of the *LL-branes* are systematically derived from the underlying *LL-brane* Lagrangian actions and provide the appropriate source

terms on the r.h.s. of Einstein equations to enable the existence of consistent non-trivial wormhole-like solutions.

- (iv) Electrically charged *LL-branes* naturally produce *asymmetric* wormholes with the *LL-branes* themselves materializing the wormhole “throats” and uniquely determining the pertinent wormhole parameters.
- (v) *LL-branes* naturally couple to Kalb-Ramond bulk space-time gauge fields which results in *dynamical* generation of space-time varying cosmological constant. (vi) *LL-branes* naturally produce *lightlike* braneworlds (extra dimensions are undetectable for observers confined on the *LL-brane* universe).

In our previous works we have also shown that:

- (vii) *LL-branes* trigger sequences of spontaneous compactification/decompactification transitions of space-time [18, 19, 20].
- (viii) *LL-branes* remove physical singularities of black holes [15].

The crucial importance of *LL-branes* in wormhole physics is underscored by the role they are playing in the self-consistent construction of the famous Einstein-Rosen “bridge” wormhole in its *original* formulation [55] – historically the first explicit wormhole solution. To this end let us make the following important remark. In several standard textbooks, e.g. [52, 56], the formulation of the Einstein-Rosen “bridge” uses the Kruskal-Szekeres manifold, where the Einstein-Rosen “bridge” geometry becomes *dynamical* (see ref.[52], p.839, Fig. 31.6, and ref. [56], p.228, Fig. 5.15). The latter notion of the Einstein-Rosen “bridge” is *not* equivalent to the original Einstein-Rosen’s formulation in the classic paper [55], where the space-time manifold is *static* spherically symmetric consisting of two identical copies of the outer Schwarzschild space-time region ($r > 2m$) glued together along the horizon at $r = 2m$. Namely, the two regions in Kruskal-Szekeres space-time corresponding to the outer Schwarzschild space-time region ($r > 2m$) and labeled (*I*) and (*III*) in ref.[52] are generally *disconnected* and share only a two-sphere (the angular part) as a common border ($U = 0, V = 0$ in Kruskal-Szekeres coordinates), whereas in the original Einstein-Rosen “bridge” construction [55] the boundary between the two identical copies of the outer Schwarzschild space-time region ($r > 2m$) is their common horizon ($r = 2m$) – a three-dimensional *lightlike* hypersurface. In refs.[14, 17] it has been shown that the Einstein-Rosen “bridge” in its original formulation [55] naturally arises as the simplest particular case of static spherically symmetric wormhole solutions produced by *LL-branes* as gravitational sources, where the two identical “universes” with Schwarzschild outer-region geometry are glued together by a *LL-brane* occupying their common horizon – the wormhole “throat”. An understanding of this picture within the framework of Kruskal-Szekeres manifold was subsequently given in ref.[57], which uses Rindler’s identification of antipodal future event horizons.

One of the most interesting physical phenomena in wormhole physics is the well-known Misner-Wheeler “charge without charge” effect [54]. Namely, Misner and Wheeler have shown that wormholes connecting two asymptotically flat space-times provide the possibility of existence of electromagnetically non-trivial

solutions, where *without being produced by any charge source* the flux of the electric field flows from one universe to the other, thus giving the impression of being positively charged in one universe and negatively charged in the other universe.

In our recent paper [59] we found an opposite “charge-hiding” effect in wormhole physics, namely, that a genuinely charged matter source of gravity and electromagnetism may appear *electrically neutral* to an external observer. This phenomenon takes place when coupling self-consistently an electrically charged *LL-brane* to gravity and a *non-standard* form of nonlinear electrodynamics, whose Lagrangian contains a square-root of the ordinary Maxwell term:

$$L(F^2) = -\frac{1}{4}F^2 - \frac{f}{2}\sqrt{-F^2} \quad , \quad F^2 \equiv F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} \quad , \quad (48)$$

f being a positive coupling constant. In flat space-time the theory (48) is known to produce a QCD-like effective potential between charged fermions [60]–[65]. When coupled to gravity it generates an effective global cosmological constant $\Lambda_{\text{eff}} = 2\pi f^2$ as well a nontrivial constant radial vacuum electric field $f/\sqrt{2}$ [58]. When in addition gravity and nonlinear electrodynamics (48) also interact self-consistently with a charged *LL-brane* we found in ref.[59] a new type of wormhole solution which connects a non-compact “universe”, comprising the exterior region of Schwarzschild-de Sitter black hole beyond the internal (Schwarzschild-type horizon), to a Levi-Civita-Bertotti-Robinson-type “tube-like” “universe” with two compactified dimensions (cf. [29, 30, 31]) via a wormhole “throat” occupied by the charged *LL-brane*. In this solution the whole electric flux produced by the charged *LL-brane* is pushed into the “tube-like” Levi-Civita-Bertotti-Robinson-type “universe” and thus the brane is detected as neutral by an observer in the Schwarzschild-de-Sitter “universe”.

In the subsequent recent paper [66] we succeeded to find a truly “charge-confining” wormhole solution when the coupled system of gravity and non-standard nonlinear electrodynamics (48) are self-consistently interacting with *two* separate oppositely charged *LL-branes*. Namely, we found a self-consistent “two-throat” wormhole solution where the “left-most” and the “right-most” “universes” are two identical copies of the exterior region of the electrically neutral Schwarzschild-de Sitter black hole beyond the Schwarzschild horizon, whereas the “middle” “universe” is of generalized Levi-Civita-Bertotti-Robinson “tube-like” form with geometry $dS_2 \times S^2$ (dS_2 is the two-dimensional de Sitter space). It comprises the finite-size intermediate region of dS_2 between its two horizons. Both “throats” are occupied by the two oppositely charged *LL-branes* and the whole electric flux produced by the latter is confined entirely within the middle finite-size “tube-like” “universe”.

One of the most important issues to be studied is the problem of stability of the wormhole(-like) solutions with *LL-branes* at their “throats”, in particular, the above presented *LL-braneworld* solutions in anti-de Sitter bulk space-times. The “horizon-straddling” property (15) of *LL-brane* dynamics will impose severe restrictions on the impact of the perturbations of the bulk space-time geometry.

Acknowledgements E.N. and S.P. are grateful to the organizers for hospitality during the IX-th International Workshop “Lie Theory and Its Applications in Physics”. E.N. and S.P. are supported

by Bulgarian NSF grant *DO 02-257*. Also, all of us acknowledge support of our collaboration through the exchange agreement between the Ben-Gurion University and the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences.

References

1. C. Barrabés and P. Hogan, “*Singular Null-Hypersurfaces in General Relativity*” (World Scientific, Singapore, 2004).
2. K. Thorne, R. Price and D. Macdonald (Eds.), “*Black Holes: The Membrane Paradigm*” (Yale Univ. Press, New Haven, CT, 1986).
3. W. Israel, *Nuovo Cim.* **B44**, 1 (1966); erratum, *Nuovo Cim.* **B48**, 463 (1967).
4. C. Barrabés and W. Israel, *Phys. Rev.* **D43** (1991) 1129.
5. T. Dray and G. ‘t Hooft, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **3** (1986) 825.
6. V. Berezin, A. Kuzmin and I. Tkachev, *Phys. Rev.* **D36** (1987) 2919.
7. I. Kogan and N. Reis, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A16** (2001) 4567 ([arxiv:hep-th/0107163](#)).
8. D. Mateos and S. Ng, *JHEP* **0208**, 005 (2002) ([arxiv:hep-th/0205291](#)).
9. D. Mateos, T. Mateos and P.K. Townsend, *JHEP* **0312**, 017 (2003) ([arxiv:hep-th/0309114](#)).
10. A. Breththauer, U. Lindström, J. Persson and L. Wulff, *JHEP* **0402**, 051 (2004) ([arxiv:hep-th/0401159](#)).
11. E. Guendelman, A. Kaganovich, E. Nissimov and S. Pacheva, *Phys. Rev.* **D72** (2005) 0806011 ([arxiv:hep-th/0507193](#)).
12. E. Guendelman, A. Kaganovich, E. Nissimov and S. Pacheva, *Fortschr. der Physik* **55**, 579 (2007) ([arxiv:hep-th/0612091](#)).
13. E. Guendelman, A. Kaganovich, E. Nissimov and S. Pacheva, *Fortschr. der Physik.* **57**, 566 (2009) ([arxiv:0901.4443\[hep-th\]](#)).
14. E. Guendelman, A. Kaganovich, E. Nissimov and S. Pacheva, *Phys. Lett.* **681B** (2009) 457 ([arxiv:0904.3198\[hep-th\]](#)).
15. E. Guendelman, A. Kaganovich, E. Nissimov and S. Pacheva, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A25** (2010) 1571-1596 ([arxiv:0908.4195\[hep-th\]](#)).
16. E. Guendelman, A. Kaganovich, E. Nissimov and S. Pacheva, *Phys. Lett.* **673B** (2009) 288-292 ([arxiv:0811.2882\[hep-th\]](#)).
17. E. Guendelman, A. Kaganovich, E. Nissimov and S. Pacheva, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A25** (2010) 1405 ([arxiv:0904.0401\[hep-th\]](#)).
18. E. Guendelman, A. Kaganovich, E. Nissimov and S. Pacheva, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **43**, 1487-1513 (2011) ([arxiv:1007.4893\[hep-th\]](#)).
19. E. Guendelman, A. Kaganovich, E. Nissimov and S. Pacheva, *Invertis Journal of Science & Technology* **3**, 91-100 (2010) ([arxiv:0912.3712\[hep-th\]](#)).
20. E. Guendelman, A. Kaganovich, E. Nissimov and S. Pacheva, in “*Sixth Meeting in Modern Mathematical Physics*”, ed. by B. Dragovic and Z. Rakic, (Belgrade Inst. Phys. Press, Belgrade, 2011) ([arxiv:1011.6241\[hep-th\]](#)).
21. M. Visser, “*Lorentzian Wormholes. From Einstein to Hawking*”, (Springer, Berlin, 1996).
22. D. Hochberg, M. Visser, *Phys. Rev.* **D56** (1997) 4745 ([arxiv:9704082\[gr-qc\]](#)).
23. D. Hochberg, M. Visser, *Phys. Rev.* **D58** (1998) 044021 ([arxiv:9802046\[gr-qc\]](#)).
24. J. Lemos, F. Lobo, S. de Oliveira, *Phys. Rev.* **D68** (2003) 064004 ([arxiv:0302049\[gr-qc\]](#)).
25. S. Sushkov, *Phys. Rev.* **D71** (2005) 043520 ([arxiv:0502084\[gr-qc\]](#)).
26. F. Lobo, “Exotic solutions in General Relativity – Traversable wormholes and warp drive spacetimes”, [arxiv:0710.4474\[gr-qc\]](#).
27. B. Bronnikov, J. Lemos, *Phys. Rev.* **D79** (2009) 104019 ([arxiv:0902.2360\[gr-qc\]](#)).
28. K. Bronnikov, M. Skvortsova, A. Starobinsky, *Grav. Cosmol.* **16**, (2010) 216 ([arxiv:1005.3262\[gr-qc\]](#)).
29. T. Levi-Civita, *Rend. R. Acad. Naz. Lincei*, **26** (1917) 519.

30. B. Bertotti, *Phys. Rev.* **D116** (1959) 1331.
31. I. Robinson, *Bull. Akad. Pol.*, **7** (1959) 351.
32. Guendelman E. *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **23** (1991) 1415.
33. V. Dzhunushaliev, D. Singleton, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **16** (1999) 973 ([arxiv:gr-qc/9805104](#)).
34. V. Dzhunushaliev, D. Singleton, *Phys. Rev.* **D59** (1999) 064018 ([arxiv:gr-qc/9807086](#)).
35. V. Dzhunushaliev, U. Kasper, D. Singleton, *Phys. Lett.* **479B** (2000) 249 ([arxiv:gr-qc/9910092](#)).
36. V. Dzhunushaliev, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **35** (2003) 1481 ([arxiv:gr-qc/0301046](#)).
37. J. Matyjasek, O. Zaslavsky, *Phys. Rev.* **D64** (2001) 044005 ([arxiv:gr-qc/0006014](#)).
38. O. Zaslavskii, *Phys. Rev.* **D70** (2004) 104017 ([arxiv:gr-qc/0410101](#)).
39. O. Zaslavskii, *Phys. Lett.* **634B** (2006) 111 ([arxiv:gr-qc/0601017](#)).
40. O. Zaslavskii, *Phys. Rev.* **D80** (2009) 064034 ([arxiv:0909.2270\[gr-qc\]](#)).
41. U. Lindström and H. Svendsen, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A16** (2001) 1347 ([arxiv:hep-th/0007101](#)).
42. E. Guendelman, A. Kaganovich, E. Nissimov and S. Pacheva, *Centr. Europ. Journ. Phys.* **7** (2009) 668 ([arxiv:0711.2877\[hep-th\]](#)).
43. W. Israel and E. Poisson, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **63** (1989) 1663.
44. W. Israel and E. Poisson, *Phys. Rev.* **D41** (1990) 1796.
45. L. Randall and R. Sundrum, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83** (1999) 3370 ([arxiv:hep-ph/9905221](#)).
46. L. Randall and R. Sundrum, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83** (1999) 4690 ([arxiv:hep-ph/9906064](#)).
47. P. Mannheim, “*Brane-Localized Gravity*”, (World Scientific, 2005).
48. R. Gregory, *Braneworld Black Holes*, ch.7 in “*Physics of Black Holes: A Guided Tour*”, ed. by E. Papantonopoulos, *Lect. Notes Phys.* **769**, pp.259-298 (Springer, Berlin Heidelberg 2009).
49. R. Maartens and K. Koyama, *Living Rev. Relativity* **13** (2010) 5 ([arxiv:1004.3962\[hep-th\]](#)).
50. E. Poisson, *A Relativist’s Toolkit. The Mathematics of Black-Hole Mechanics*, (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge UK, 2004).
51. W. Rindler, *Relativity: Special, General and Cosmological*, 2nd ed., Sec.3.8 (Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford UK, 2006).
52. Ch. Misner, K. Thorne and J. Wheeler, *Gravitation*, Sec.6.6 (W.H.Freeman and Co, San Francisco, 1973).
53. E. Guendelman and I. Shilon, *Phys. Rev.* **D76** (2007) 025021 ([arxiv:gr-qc/0612057](#)).
54. Ch. Misner and J. Wheeler, *Ann. of Phys.* **2** (1957) 525-603.
55. A. Einstein and N. Rosen, *Phys. Rev.* **43**, 73 (1935).
56. S. Carroll, “*Spacetime and Geometry. An Introduction to General Relativity*” (Addison Wesley, San Francisco, 2003).
57. N. Poplawski, *Phys. Lett.* **687B** (2010) 110 ([arxiv:0902.1994\[gr-qc\]](#)).
58. E. Guendelman, A. Kaganovich, E. Nissimov and S. Pacheva, *Phys. Lett.* **704B** (2011) 230, erratum *Phys. Lett.* **705B** (2011) 545 ([arxiv:1108.0160\[hep-th\]](#)).
59. E. Guendelman, A. Kaganovich, E. Nissimov and S. Pacheva, *The Open Nuclear and Particle Physics Journal* **4** (2011) 27-34 ([arxiv:1108.3735\[hep-th\]](#)).
60. E. Guendelman, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A19** (2004) 3255 ([arxiv:0306162\[hep-th\]](#)).
61. P. Gaete and E. Guendelman, *Phys. Lett.* **640B** (2006) 201-204 ([arxiv:0607113\[hep-th\]](#)).
62. P. Gaete, E. Guendelman and E. Spalluci, *Phys. Lett.* **649B** (2007) 217 ([arxiv:0702067\[hep-th\]](#)).
63. E. Guendelman, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A22** (2007) 1209-1215 ([arxiv:0703139\[hep-th\]](#)).
64. I. Korover and E. Guendelman, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A24** (2009) 1443-1456.
65. E. Guendelman, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A25** (2010) 4195-4220 ([arxiv:1005.1421\[hep-th\]](#)).
66. E. Guendelman, A. Kaganovich, E. Nissimov and S. Pacheva, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A26**, 5211-5239 (2011) ([arxiv:1109.0453\[hep-th\]](#)).